arXiv:1403.4016v1 [math.FA] 17 Mar 2014

N-MULTIPLIERS AND N-JORDAN MULTIPLIERS

JAVAD LAALI[1] AND MOHAMMAD FOZOUNI[2]

Abstract. Let A be a Banach algebra and X be a Banach A-bimodule. We introduce and study the notions *n-multiplier* and *n-Jordan multiplier* from A into X by generalizing the classical concepts of multiplier and Jordan multiplier. As an algebraic result, we construct a new Banach algebra consisting of *n-multipliers* on A and give a nice relation of this algebra with *n-homomorphisms* from A into $\mathbb{C}$ under a mild condition. Finally, we give approximate local left version of *n-multipliers* and then investigate when an *approximately local left n-multiplier* is an *n-multiplier*.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let A be a Banach algebra and $a, b \in A$. Define a bounded bilinear functional on $A^* \times A^*$ as

$$(a \otimes b)(f, g) = f(a)g(b) \qquad (f, g \in A^*)\cdot$$

The projective tensor product space $A\widehat{\otimes}A$ is a Banach algebra and a Banach A-bimodule that characterized as follows

$$\{\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \otimes b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, a_n, b_n \in A, \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} ||a_n||||b_n|| < \infty\},$$

and its module actions defined by

$$a.(b \otimes c) = ab \otimes c, \quad (b \otimes c).a = b \otimes ca \qquad (a, b, c \in A)\cdot$$

A Banach algebra A is called *nilpotent* if, there exists an integer $n \geq 2$ such that

$$A^n = \{a_1 a_2 a_3 ... a_n : a_1, a_2, a_3, ..., a_n \in A\} = \{0\}\cdot$$

In the above definition the number n that we show it by $I(A)$ called the *index* of A and is minimum, i.e., there exists elements $a_1, a_2, ...a_{n-1} \in A$ such that $a_1 a_2 ... a_{n-1} \neq 0$.

To see an example of a nilpotent Banach algebra, suppose that B is a Banach algebra and let A be defined as follows

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B & B & B & B \\ 0 & 0 & B & B & B \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B & B \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\cdot$$

Then, A is a Banach algebra equipped with the usual matrix-like operations and l_∞ norm such that A is nilpotent with $I(A) = 5$.

We give the following definition from [7].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46H05, Secondary: 42A45.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebra, multiplier, tensor product space, Banach module.

Definition 1.1. Let A be a Banach algebra. We say that A is *nil* if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^n = 0$ for all $a \in A$. The number n, is called *nil index* of A and we denote it by $NI(A)$ for which is minimum, i.e., in a nil Banach algebra A with $NI(A) = n$, there exists an element $a_0 \in A$ such that $a_0^{n-1} \neq 0$.

Theorem 1.2. *(Nagata-Higman) Let A be a nil algebra with $NI(A) = n$. Then $A^{2^n-1} = \{0\}$.*

Note that in the above theorem the Banach algebra A is not nilpotent with $I(A) = 2^n-1$. W. Lee in [7], proved that if A is a nil algebra with $NI(A) = 3$, then A is nilpotent with $I(A) = 6$, i.e., the minimum integer m such that $A^m = \{0\}$, is 6.

The concept of a multiplier first appears in harmonic analysis in connection with the theory of summability for Fourier series. Subsequently the notion has been employed in other areas of harmonic analysis, such as the investigation of homomorphisms of group algebras, in the general theory of Banach algebras, and so on [6]. Many authors generalized the notion of a multiplier in different ways. See [8] and the recent work [1], for one of this generalizations.

In this paper, our main concern will not be with these applications of the theory of multipliers and its generalizations. We only develop the theory of multipliers differently from the previous ways, by introducing a new class of operators from a Banach algebra A into a Banach A-bimodule X.

This paper organized as follows. In section 2, we give the definition of an *n-multiplier*. Then we show that the set of all *n-multiplier* from Banach algebra A into Banach A-bimodule X is a closed vector subspace of $B(A, X)$. Also this space is closed in the strong operator topology of $B(A, X)$. It is shown that if A has an approximate identity, the set of all *n-multipliers* coincide with the set of all multipliers. We discuss some of algebraic structures of this space and construct a commutative Banach subalgebra of $B(A)$ for which its character space is non-empty under some conditions. Also we provide a relation between *n-multipliers* and *n-homomorphisms* which introduced and studied by Hejazian, Mirzavaziri and Moslehian in [5].

In section 3, first we give the notion, *approximately local left n-multiplier*. Then determine when such multiplier is an *n-multiplier*. In the final section, we introduce the notion of *n-Jordan multiplier* and study this class. Then we give approximately local version of these kind of operators. In the end, we determine when each *approximately local left n-Jordan multiplier* is an *n-Jordan multiplier*.

2. n-multipliers

We start this section with the main object of the paper.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a Banach algebra, X be a Banach A-bimodule and $T : A \to X$ be a bounded linear map. We say that T is an *n-multiplier*$(n \geq 2)$ if

$$T(a_1a_2...a_n) = a_1.T(a_2...a_n) = T(a_1a_2...a_{n-1}).a_n \quad (a_1, a_2, a_3, ..., a_n \in A)\cdot$$

We will denote by $Mul_n(A, X)$ the set of all n-multiplier of Banach algebra A into X. Now we study in more details the space $Mul_n(A, X)$ when $n \geq 3$ (in the case $n = 2$ this is the space of all multipliers in the classical sense).

Let A be a Banach algebra and X be a Banach A-bimodule. The set $Mul_n(A,X)$ is a vector subspace of $B(A,X)$; the space of all bounded linear map from A into X.

As the first result we show that $Mul_n(A,X)$ is a closed vector subspace of $B(A,X)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be a Banach algebra and X be a Banach A-bimodule. Then for all integers $n \geq 2$, the space $Mul_n(A,X)$ is a closed vector subspace of $B(A,X)$.*

Proof. We claim that $Mul_n(A,X)$ is closed in $B(A,X)$. Suppose that $\{T_m\}$ is a sequence in $Mul_n(A,X)$ such that converges to T which is an element of $B(A,X)$.

Let $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ be arbitrary elements of A. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}||T(a_1...a_n) - a_1.T(a_2...a_n)|| &\leq ||T(a_1...a_n) - T_m(a_1...a_n)|| \\ &+ ||T_m(a_1...a_n) - a_1.T(a_2...a_n)|| \\ &\leq ||T - T_m||||a_1...a_n|| \\ &+ ||a_1.T_m(a_2...a_n) - a_1.T(a_2...a_n)|| \\ &\leq ||T - T_m||||a_1...a_n|| + ||T - T_m||||a_1||||a_2...a_n||.\end{aligned}$$

If $m \to \infty$, we conclude that $T(a_1...a_n) = a_1.T(a_2...a_n)$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}||a_1.T(a_2...a_n) - T(a_1...a_{n-1}).a_n|| &\leq ||a_1.T(a_2...a_n) - T_m(a_1...a_n)|| \\ &+ ||T_m(a_1...a_n) - T(a_1...a_{n-1}).a_n|| \\ &\leq ||a_1.T(a_2...a_n) - a_1.T_m(a_2...a_n)|| \\ &+ ||T_m(a_1...a_{n-1}).a_n - T(a_1...a_{n-1}).a_n|| \\ &\leq ||T - T_m||||a_1||||a_2...a_n|| \\ &+ ||T - T_m||||a_n||||a_1...a_{n-1}||.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, with taking limit over m, we have $a_1.T(a_2...a_n) = T(a_1...a_{n-1}).a_n$. Therefore, $T \in Mul_n(A,X)$ and so $Mul_n(A,X)$ is a closed vector subspace of $B(A,X)$. □

With a similar proof as above, one can see that $Mul_n(A,X)$ is complete in the *strong operator topology* (SOT), i.e., in the topology on $B(A,X)$ for which a net $\{T_\alpha\}$ converges to T if and only if for each $a \in A$, $||Ta - T_\alpha a|| \to 0$.

Now we study some of relations between the spaces of n-multipliers in the next two theorems.

Theorem 2.3. *There exists a Banach algebra A and a Banach A-bimodule X such that for all positive integers $n \geq 3$*

$$Mul_2(A,X) \subsetneq Mul_3(A,X) \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq Mul_n(A,X).$$

Proof. For every positive integer $n \geq 3$ take A a nilpotent Banach algebra with $I(A) = n$ and $X = A\widehat{\otimes}A$. So, there exists non-zero elements $a_1, a_2, ...a_{n-1} \in A$ such that $a_1a_2...a_{n-1} \neq 0$.

The verification of the above chain of inclusions are easy. We only show each of the strict relations. For every integer number i such that $2 \leq i < n$, define a linear map $T_i : A \to A\widehat{\otimes}A$ by

$$T_i(a) = a_1a_2...a_{n-1} \otimes a_1a_2...a_{n-(i+1)}a \qquad (a \in A)\cdot$$

Thus, T_i is an element of $Mul_{i+1}(A, A\widehat{\otimes}A)$, but it does not belong to $Mul_i(A, A\widehat{\otimes}A)$. To see this, let $f \in A^*$ be a functional such that $f(a_1a_2 \dots a_{n-1}) \neq 0$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} T(a_{n-i} \dots a_{n-1})(f, f) &= (a_1a_2 \dots a_{n-1} \otimes a_1a_2 \dots a_{n-1})(f, f) \\ &= f(a_1a_2 \dots a_{n-1})^2 \neq 0\cdot \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $T(a_{n-i} \dots a_{n-1}) \neq 0$, but $a_{n-i}.T(a_{(n-i)+1}...a_{n-1}) = 0$ and this completes the proof. □

For a Banach algebra A, let $A^2 = \text{span}\{ab : a, b \in A\}$. The Banach algebra A is *essential* if $\overline{A^2} = A$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let A be an essential Banach algebra and X be a Banach A-bimodule. Then for all integers $n \geq 3$ we have*

$$Mul_{n-1}(A, X) = Mul_n(A, X)\cdot$$

Specially, $Mul_2(A, X) = Mul_n(A, X)$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Let $T \in Mul_n(A, X)$, A be essential and $a_1, ..., a_{n-1}$ be arbitrary elements of A. We show that $T \in Mul_{n-1}(A, X)$. Since $a_2 \in A = \overline{A^2}$, there exists a net $\{a_{2,\alpha}\} \in A^2$ with $a_{2,\alpha} = \sum_{i_\alpha} \beta_{i_\alpha} b_{i_\alpha} c_{i_\alpha}$, for, $b_{i_\alpha}, c_{i_\alpha} \in A$ and $\beta_{i_\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $a_2 = \lim_\alpha a_{2,\alpha}$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(a_1a_2...a_{n-1}) &= \lim_\alpha T(a_1(\sum_{i_\alpha} \beta_{i_\alpha} b_{i_\alpha} c_{i_\alpha})a_3...a_{n-1}) \\ &= \lim_\alpha \sum_{i_\alpha} \beta_{i_\alpha} T(\overbrace{a_1 b_{i_\alpha} c_{i_\alpha} a_3...a_{n-1}}^{n}) \\ &= \lim_\alpha \sum_{i_\alpha} \beta_{i_\alpha} a_1.T(b_{i_\alpha} c_{i_\alpha} a_3...a_{n-1}) \\ &= a_1.T(a_2...a_{n-1})\cdot \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that $T(a_1...a_{n-1}) = T(a_1...a_{n-2}).a_{n-1}$, which completes the proof. □

To proceed further we state a question as follows.

Question 2.5.
For a Banach algebra A if, $Mul_2(A, X) \subsetneq Mul_3(A, X)$ for some Banach A-bimodule X, is there a positive integer n such that $A^n = \{0\}$?

We shall answer to this question, but first recall some preliminaries.

Let $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a collection of Banach algebras. Suppose that $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A_n$ denote the product space of the collection $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that the linear

operations being given coordinatewise. We recall that the c_0 direct sum of the collection is

$$\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}^{0} A_n = \{(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A_n : \lim_n a_n = 0, ||(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}||_\infty = \sup ||a_n||_{A_n} < \infty\}.$$

A routine calculation shows that $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}^{0} A_n$ is a Banach algebra with the multiplication being defined coordinatewise and the norm $||.||_\infty$.

The following theorem provides an answer in the negative for Question 2.5.

Theorem 2.6. *There exists a Banach algebra A and a Banach A-bimodule X such that A is not nilpotent and $Mul_2(A, X) \subsetneq Mul_3(A, X)$.*

Proof. Let $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a collection of Banach algebras such that A_1 is a nilpotent Banach algebra with $I(A_1) = 3$ and for all $n \geq 2$, the Banach algebras A_n are not nilpotent.

Put $A = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}^{0} A_n$ and $X = A\widehat{\otimes}A$. Suppose that $a_1^{'}, b_1^{'} \in A_1$ be elements such that, $a_1^{'}b_1^{'} \neq 0$. Then consider $a^{'} = (a_n^{'}), b^{'} = (b_n^{'}) \in A$ that is for all $n \geq 2$, $a_n^{'} = b_n^{'} = 0$. Let $T : A \to X$ be given by

$$T((a_n)) = a^{'}b^{'} \otimes (a_n)^0,$$

where $(a_n)^0 = (a_1, 0, 0, ...)$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&T((a_n)(b_n)(c_n)) = a^{'}b^{'} \otimes (a_nb_nc_n)^0 = 0,\\
&(a_n).T((b_n)(c_n)) = (a_n).(a_n^{'}b_n^{'}) \otimes (b_nc_n)^0 = (a_na_n^{'}b_n^{'}) \otimes (b_nc_n)^{'} = 0,\\
&T((a_n)(b_n)).(c_n) = (a_n^{'}b_n^{'}) \otimes (a_nb_n)^{'}.(c_n) = (a_n^{'}b_n^{'}) \otimes (a_nb_nc_n)^{'} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the proof of $T((a_n^{'})(b_n^{'})) \neq 0 = (a_n^{'}).T((b_n^{'}))$ is a matter of straightforward computation. Therefore, $Mul_2(A, X) \subsetneq Mul_3(A, X)$.

But the Banach algebra A is not nilpotent, because for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists elements $(a_n^1), (a_n^2), (a_n^3), ..., (a_n^m) \in A$ such that $(a_n^1)(a_n^2)(a_n^3)...(a_n^m) \neq 0$. □

2.1. **Some algebraic properties of $Mul_n(A, A)$.** Let A be a Banach algebra and $n \geq 3$ be a positive integer. Also let there exists a non-zero element $a_0 \in Z(A)$; here $Z(A)$ denote the center of A.

We know that the space of all multipliers on A is a Banach subalgebra of $B(A) = B(A, A)$ with composition of operators as product and operator norm. But in general the space of n-multipliers on A is not an algebra with composition of operators. So, we should define another product on this space to make $Mul_n(A, A)$ into a Banach algebra. Here we show the space $Mul_n(A, A)$ briefly by $Mul_n(A)$.

Now consider $\bullet_{a_0} : Mul_n(A) \times Mul_n(A) \to Mul_n(A)$ with

$$S \bullet_{a_0} T(a) := S(a_0^{n-2}T(a)) \quad (a \in A), \tag{2.1}$$

or simply, $S \bullet_{a_0} T = SL_{a_0^{n-2}}T$, where $L_{a_0^{n-2}}$ is the left multiplication operator by a_0^{n-2}, i.e., $L_{a_0^{n-2}}(a) = a_0^{n-2}a$ for all $a \in A$. Without losing the generality we assume that $||a_0|| \leq 1$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let A be a Banach algebra and let there exists $a_0 \in Z(A)\backslash\{0\} \neq \emptyset$. Then for all positive integers $n \geq 3$, $Mul_n(A)$ is a Banach algebra, with the product $\bullet_{a_0}$ and the operator norm.*

Proof. Clearly, $Mul_n(A)$ is a vector space with operations that inherit from $B(A)$. Let $S, T \in Mul_n(A)$. First we show that $S \bullet_{a_0} T$ is well-defined, i.e., $S \bullet_{a_0} T \in Mul_n(A)$. Let $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S \bullet_{a_0} T(a_1...a_n) = S(a_0^{n-2}T(a_1...a_n)) &= S(a_0^{n-2}T(a_1...a_{n-1}).a_n) \\ &= S(a_0^{n-2}T(a_1...a_{n-1})).a_n \\ &= S \bullet_{a_0} T(a_1...a_{n-1}).a_n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S \bullet_{a_0} T(a_1...a_n) = S(a_0^{n-2}T(a_1...a_n)) &= S(a_0^{n-2}a_1T(a_2...a_n)) \\ &= S(a_1a_0^{n-2}T(a_2...a_n)) \\ &= a_1.(S \bullet_{a_0} T)(a_2...a_n)\cdot \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $S \bullet_{a_0} T$ is an n-multiplier on A.

Let T_1, T_2 and T_3 be elements of $Mul_n(A)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1 \bullet_{a_0} T_2) \bullet_{a_0} T_3(a) = T_1 \bullet_{a_0} T_2(a_0^{n-2}T_3(a)) = T_1(a_0^{n-2}T_2(a_0^{n-2}T_3(a))) \\ T_1 \bullet_{a_0} (T_2 \bullet_{a_0} T_3)(a) = T_1(a_0^{n-2}(T_2 \bullet_{a_0} T_3)(a) = T_1(a_0^{n-2}T_2(a_0^{n-2}T_3(a))) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the product '$\bullet_{a_0}$' is associative.

On the other hand, Theorem 2.2 shows that $Mul_n(A)$ is a closed vector subspace of $B(A)$ with the operator norm. The investigation of the other properties are easy. □

Remark 2.8. Let $Mul_{n,l}(A, A)$; the space of all left n-multipliers on A, denote the set consisting of all bounded operators T on A such that, $T(a_1...a_n) = T(a_1...a_{n-1}).a_n$ for all $a_1, ..., a_n \in A$. Then we can make $Mul_{n,l}(A, A) = Mul_{n,l}(A)$ into a Banach algebra without any condition on A, i.e., we can remove the condition $Z(A) \setminus \{0\} \neq \emptyset$

Theorem 2.9. *Let A be a Banach algebra. Then for every positive integer k and n with $2 \leq k \leq n$, the space $Mul_k(A)$ is a closed left ideal of $Mul_n(A)$ with product 2.1.*

Proof. Let $T \in Mul_k(A)$, $S \in Mul_n(A,)$ and $a_1, a_2, ...a_k \in A$ be arbitrary elements. Then, in view of product 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S \bullet_{a_0} T(a_1a_2...a_k) = S(a_0^{n-2}T(a_1a_2...a_k)) &= S(a_0^{n-2}T(a_1a_2...a_{k-1}).a_k) \\ &= S(a_0^{n-2}T(a_1a_2...a_{k-1})).a_k \\ &= (S \bullet_{a_0} T)(a_1a_2...a_{k-1}).a_k, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S \bullet_{a_0} T(a_1a_2...a_k) = S(a_0^{n-2}T(a_1a_2...a_k)) &= S(a_0^{n-2}a_1.T(a_2a_3...a_k)) \\ &= S(a_1a_0^{n-2}T(a_2a_3...a_k)) \\ &= a_1.S(a_0^{n-2}T(a_2a_3...a_k)) \\ &= a_1.(S \bullet_{a_0} T)(a_2a_3...a_k)\cdot \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $S \bullet_{a_0} T \in Mul_k(A)$.

Moreover, Theorem 2.2 shows that the space $Mul_k(A)$ is a closed subspace of $B(A)$ and this completes our argument. □

Corollary 2.10. *For every integer $n \geq 2$, we have*

i): $Mul_n(A) \bullet_{a_0} Mul_2(A) \subseteq Mul_2(A)$,

ii): $Mul_2(A) \lhd Mul_3(A) \lhd ... \lhd Mul_{n-1}(A) \lhd Mul_n(A) \lhd \ldots$, *i.e., for each integer $n \geq 2$, $Mul_{n-1}(A)$ is a closed left ideal of $Mul_n(A)$*.

It is clear that the Banach algebra $B(A)$ is not commutative in general. In the sequel we show that it has a Banach subalgebra which is commutative under a mild condition. First we give one lemma as follows.

Note that $\pi_n : \overbrace{A \otimes \ldots \otimes A}^{n} \to A$ is defined by, $\pi(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \ldots \otimes a_n) = a_1a_2a_3 \ldots a_n$ for each $a_i \in A$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let A be a Banach algebra. Then for each $S, T \in Mul_n(A)$ and $b \in Im(\pi_n)$, $S \bullet_{a_0} T(b) = T \bullet_{a_0} S(b)$.*

Proof. Let $b \in A$ be such that $b = a_1a_2 \ldots a_n$ for $a_i \in A$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S \bullet_{a_0} T(b) &= S(a_0^{n-2}T(a_1 \ldots a_n)) \\ &= S(a_0^{n-2}a_1)T(a_2 \ldots a_n) \\ &= T(S(a_0^{n-2}a_1)a_2 \ldots a_n) \\ &= T(a_0^{n-2}S(a_1a_2 \ldots a_n)) \\ &= T \bullet_{a_0} S(b)\cdot \end{aligned}$$

The general case follows from continuity of S and T. □

For each $T \in Mul_n(A)$, let $T_{a_0,n} \in B(A)$ be defined by, $T_{a_0,n}(a) = T(a_0^{n-2}a)$ for all $a \in A$. Put,

$$M_{a_0,n}(A) = \{T_{a_0,n} : T \in Mul_n(A)\}\cdot$$

A simple calculation shows that $M_{a_0,n}(A)$ is a subalgebra of $B(A)$. Because, for each $S, T \in M_n(A)$, $S_{a_0,n}T_{a_0,n} = (SL_{a_0^{n-2}}T)_{a_0,n}$ and the operator $SL_{a_0^{n-2}}T$ is an element of $Mul_n(A)$. Suppose that $S_n(A) = \overline{M_{a_0,n}(A)}^{||.||}$, i.e., $S_n(A)$ is the closure of $M_{a_0,n}(A)$ in the operator norm of $B(A)$. Since $M_{a_0,n}(A)$ is a normed space, $S_n(A)$ is also a subalgebra of $B(A)$ which is complete.

Recall that the *left annihilator* of A is defined by

$$\mathrm{Ann}_l(A) = \{a \in A : ab = 0, \text{for all } b \in A\}\cdot$$

Theorem 2.12. *Let A be a Banach algebra with $Ann_l(A) = \{0\}$. Then for each integer $n \geq 3$, $S_n(A)$ is a commutative Banach subalgebra of $B(A)$.*

Proof. Suppose that S and T are in $Mul_n(A)$. Then for $a, x \in A$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{a_0,n}T_{a_0,n}(a)x &= S(a_0^{n-2}T(a_0^{n-2}a))x \\ &= S(a_0^{n-2}T(a_0^{n-2}ax)) \\ &= S \bullet_{a_0} T(a_0^{n-2}ax) \\ &= T \bullet_{a_0} S(a_0^{n-2}ax) \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.11)} \\ &= T(a_0^{n-2}S(a_0^{n-2}ax)) \\ &= T(a_0^{n-2}S(a_0^{n-2}a))x \\ &= T_{a_0,n}S_{a_0,n}(a)x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(S_{a_0,n}T_{a_0,n}(a) - T_{a_0,n}S_{a_0,n}(a))x = 0$. Since, $\mathrm{Ann}_l(A) = \{0\}$, we conclude that, $S_{a_0,n}T_{a_0,n} = T_{a_0,n}S_{a_0,n}$.

For the general case, i.e., when S, T are in $S_n(A)$, since there exists two nets $(S^{\alpha}_{a_0,n}), (T^{\beta}_{a_0,n})$ in $M_{a_0,n}(A)$ for which $T = \lim_{\beta} T^{\beta}_{a_0,n}$ and $S = \lim_{\alpha} S^{\alpha}_{a_0,n}$, it is obvious that $ST = TS$ and this completes the proof. □

Recall that for Banach algebras A and B a linear map $\phi : A \to B$ is called an *n-homomorphism* if, $\phi(a_1a_2 \dots a_n) = \phi(a_1)\phi(a_2) \dots \phi(a_n)$ for all $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ [5].

For each integer $n \geq 2$, suppose that $\Delta_n(A)$ denote the *n-character space* of A, i.e., the space consisting of all non-zero n-homomorphisms from A into $\mathbb{C}$. It is clear that for every integer $n \geq 3$, $\Delta_2(A) \subseteq \Delta_n(A)$. The last inclusion may be strict. As an example for $n = 3$, if $\phi \in \Delta_2(A)$, then $\varphi := -\phi$ is in $\Delta_3(A)$, but φ is not a 2-character from A into $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\phi_n \in \Delta_n(A)$. Define $\widetilde{\phi}_n : (Mul_n(A), \bullet_{a_0}) \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\widetilde{\phi}_n(T) = \phi_n(T(a_0^{n-1})) \quad (T \in Mul_n(A)).$$

Clearly, $\widetilde{\phi}_n$ is a linear operator. We say that $\widetilde{\phi}_n$ extend ϕ_n if, $\widetilde{\phi}_n(L_a) = \phi_n(a)$ for all $a \in A$.

In the next theorem we show that $\Delta_n(Mul_n(A)) \neq \emptyset$, under some conditions on $\Delta_n(A)$.

Theorem 2.13. *Let A be a Banach algebra and n be an integer with $n \geq 2$. Then for each integer k with $2 \leq k \leq n$, $\widetilde{\phi}_k \in \Delta_k(Mul_n(A))$ is an extension of $\phi_k \in \Delta_k(A)$ if, $\phi_k(a_0) = 1$.*

Proof. We only give the proof for $k = n$. Suppose that there exists $\phi_n \in \Delta_n(A)$ with $\phi_n(a_0) = 1$. We must show that $\widetilde{\phi}_n$ is a non-zero n-homomorphism. For each $a \in A$ we have,

$$\widetilde{\phi}_n(L_a) = \phi_n(L_a(a_0^{n-1})) = \phi_n(aa_0^{n-1}) = \phi_n(a)\phi_n(a_0)^{n-1} = \phi_n(a).$$

Therefore, in the especial case when $a = a_0$, $\widetilde{\phi}_n(L_{a_0}) = 1$. So, $\widetilde{\phi}_n$ is a non-zero extension of ϕ_n.

On the other hand, for $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n \in Mul_n(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\phi}_n(T_1 \bullet_{a_0} \ldots \bullet_{a_0} T_n) &= \phi_n(T_1 \bullet_{a_0} \ldots \bullet_{a_0} T_n(a_0^{n-1})) \\
&= \phi_n(T_1(a_0^{n-2}T_2(a_0^{n-2}\ldots T_{n-1}(a_0^{n-2}T_n(a_0^{n-1}\overbrace{))\ldots)}^{n}) \\
&= \phi_n(T_1(a_0^{n-2}T_2(a_0^{n-2}\ldots T_{n-1}(a_0^{n-2}T_n(a_0^{n-1}\overbrace{))\ldots)}^{n})\phi(a_0)^{n-1} \\
&= \phi_n(T_1(a_0^{n-2}T_2(a_0^{n-2}\ldots T_{n-1}(a_0^{n-2}T_n(a_0^{n-1}\overbrace{))\ldots)}^{n}a_0a_0^{n-2}) \\
&= \phi_n(T_1(a_0^{n-1}T_2(a_0^{n-2}\ldots T_{n-1}(a_0^{n-2}T_n(a_0^{n-1}\overbrace{))\ldots)}^{n}a_0^{n-2}) \\
&= \phi_n(T_1(a_0^{n-1})T_2(a_0^{n-2}\ldots T_{n-1}(a_0^{n-2}T_n(a_0^{n-1}\overbrace{))\ldots)}^{n-1}a_0^{n-2}) \\
&\vdots \\
&= \phi_n(T_1(a_0^{n-1})T_2(a_0^{n-1})\ldots T_n(a_0^{n-1})) \\
&= \phi_n(T_1(a_0^{n-1}))\phi_n(T_2(a_0^{n-1}))\ldots\phi_n(T_n(a_0^{n-1})) \\
&= \widetilde{\phi}_n(T_1)\widetilde{\phi}_n(T_2)\ldots\widetilde{\phi}_n(T_n)\cdot
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\widetilde{\phi}_n$ is an n-homomorphism and this completes the proof. □

The following corollary, shows that, the 2-character space of the commutative Banach subalgebra $S_n(A)$ of $B(A)$ may be non-empty.

Corollary 2.14. *Let A be a Banach algebra and let there exists $\phi \in \Delta_2(A)$ with $\phi(a_0) = 1$. Then $\Delta_2(S_n(A))$ for each integer $n \geq 2$ is non-empty.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists $\phi \in \Delta_2(A)$ with $\phi(a_0) = 1$. Now, for each $T \in Mul_n(A)$, define

$$\psi(T_{a_0,n}) = \phi(T(a_0^{n-1})) = \widetilde{\phi}_2(T)\cdot$$

It is clear that ψ is non-zero. On the other hand, for each $S, T \in Mul_n(A)$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(T_{a_0,n}S_{a_0,n}) &= \phi(T(a_0^{n-2}S(a_0^{n-1})) \\
&= \phi(T \bullet_{a_0} S(a_0^{n-1})) \\
&= \widetilde{\phi}_2(T \bullet_{a_0} S) \\
&= \widetilde{\phi}_2(T)\widetilde{\phi}_2(S) \quad \text{(by Theorem 2.13)} \\
&= \psi(T_{a_0,n})\psi(S_{a_0,n})\cdot
\end{aligned}$$

Now, with use of the continuity of ϕ, we extend ψ to $S_n(A)$ which is a 2-character of $S_n(A)$. Therefore, $\Delta_2(S_n(A))$ is non-empty.

□

3. Approximate local left n-multipliers

In [9], Samei investigate the approximate local left 2-multipliers and study some of its relations with left 2-multipliers on a Banach algebra A. In this section we give two theorems similar to Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 of [9] for left n-multipliers. Indeed, we are interested in determining when an approximately local left n-multiplier is an n-multiplier. First we give the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a right Banach A-module and $T : A \to X$ be a bounded linear operator. We say that T is an *approximately local left n-multiplier* if, for all $a \in A$ there exists a sequence $\{T_{a,m}\}$ of left n-multipliers such that, $T(a) = \lim_m T_{a,m}(a)$.

We recall the algebraic reflexivity from [2]. Let X and Y be Banach spaces and S be a subset of $B(X, Y)$. Put,

$$\mathrm{ref}(S) = \{T \in B(X,Y) : T(x) \in \overline{\{s(x) : s \in S\}}, (x \in X)\}\cdot$$

Then S is algebraically reflexive if, $S = \mathrm{ref}(S)$ or just $\mathrm{ref}(S) \subseteq S$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let A be a Banach algebra and X be a right Banach A-module. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) *Every approximately local left n-multiplier from A into X is a left n-multiplier ($n \geq 3$).*
(2) *$Mul_{n,l}(A,X)$ is algebraically reflexive.*

Proof. (1) $\to$ (2): Let $T \in \mathrm{ref}(Mul_{n,l}(A, X))$. So, for all $a \in A$ there exists a sequence $\{T_m\}$ in $Mul_{n,l}(A, X)$ such that, $T(a) = \lim_m T_{a,m}(a)$. Hence, T is an approximately local left n-multiplier. Therefore, T is a left n-multiplier by assumption and this show that $Mul_{n,l}$(A,X) is algebraically reflexive.

(2) $\to$ (1): Let $T : A \to X$ be an approximately local left n-multiplier. So, for all $a \in A$, there exists a sequence $\{T_{a,m}\}$ such that, $T(a) = \lim_m T_{a,m}(a)$. Hence, $T \in \mathrm{ref}(Mul_{n,l}(A, X))$ and reflexivity of $Mul_{n,l}(A, X)$ yields T is a left n-multiplier. □

Let A be a Banach algebra and X be a right Banach A-module. Then for each $x \in X$, the *right annihilator* of x in A is defined by $x^{\perp} = \{a \in A : x.a = 0\}$.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that A is a Banach algebra such that $Mul_{n,l}(A, A^*)$ is algebraically reflexive and X is a right Banach A-module with $\{x \in X : x^{\perp} = A\} = 0$. Then every approximately local left n-multiplier from A into X is a left n-multiplier.*

Proof. Let $T : A \to X$ be an approximate local left n-multiplier and $f \in X^*$. Define a map $\mathfrak{M}_f : X \to A^*$ as follows

$$\mathfrak{M}_f(x) = f.x \quad (x \in X)\cdot$$

In view of the definition of the module action on A^*, for all $a \in A$, $\mathfrak{M}_f(x)(a) = f.x(a) = f(x.a)$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{M}_f$ is a bounded right A-module morphism. Because, for $a \in A$ and $x \in X$ we have,

$$\mathfrak{M}_f(x.a) = f.(x.a) = (f.x).a = \mathfrak{M}_f(x).a\cdot$$

So,

$$\mathfrak{M}_f \circ T \in \text{ref}(Mul_{n,l}(A, A^*)) = Mul_{n,l}(A, A^*)\cdot$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{M}_f \circ T \in Mul_{n,l}(A, A^*)$. Now, for $a_1, a_2, a_3, ...a_n \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M}_f(T(a_1a_2a_3...a_n)) = \mathfrak{M}_f \circ T(a_1a_2a_3...a_n) &= \mathfrak{M}_f \circ T(a_1a_2a_3...a_{n-1}).a_n \\ &= \mathfrak{M}_f(T(a_1a_2a_3...a_{n-1})).a_n \\ &= \mathfrak{M}_f(T(a_1a_2a_3...a_{n-1}).a_n)\cdot\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathfrak{M}_f(T(a_1a_2a_3...a_n) - T(a_1a_2a_3...a_{n-1}).a_n) = 0$. If we put

$$u = T(a_1a_2a_3...a_n) - T(a_1a_2a_3...a_{n-1}).a_n,$$

then $f(ua) = 0$ for all $a \in A$. So, by Hahn-Banach's Theorem we have $ua = 0$ for all $a \in A$. So, $u^{\perp} = A$ and this implies that $u = 0$. Hence, T is a left n-multiplier. □

4. n-Jordan multipliers

Let A be a Banach algebra and X be a Banach A-bimodule. Recall that a bounded linear map $T : A \to X$ is called a Jordan multiplier if, $T(a^2) = T(a).a = a.T(a)$ for each $a \in A$. We give the following definition of *n-Jordan multiplier* as a generalization of Jordan multiplier.

Definition 4.1. Let A be a Banach algebra and X be a Banach A-bimodule. A bounded linear map $J : A \to X$ is an *n-Jordan multiplier* if,

$$J(a^n) = a.J(a^{n-1}) = J(a^{n-1}).a \qquad (a \in A)\cdot$$

We denote by $JMul_n(A, X)$ the set of all *n-Jordan* multipliers from A into X. It is clear that $JMul_n(A, X)$ is a vector subspace of $B(A, X)$ and like the proof of the Theorem 2.2, one can show that it is closed. Therefore, $JMul_n(A, X)$ is a Banach space for every positive integer $n \geq 2$.

Here we show that the class of n-Jordan multipliers is strictly larger than the class of n-multipliers.

Theorem 4.2. *There exists a Banach algebra A and a Banach A-bimodule X such that*

$$Mul_n(A, X) \subsetneq JMul_n(A, X) \qquad (n = 2, 3, 4, ...)\cdot$$

Moreover, there exists a Banach algebra A and a Banach A-bimodule X such that for every positive integer $n \geq 3$,

$$JMul_{n-1}(A, X) \subsetneq JMul_n(A, X)\cdot$$

Proof. Let A be a nil Banach algebra such that $NI(A) = n$. So, $A^{2^n-1} = \{0\}$ by Nagata-Higman's Theorem. Without losing the generality we assume that $I(A) = 2^n - 1$. Hence, there exists $a_1, a_2, ...a_{2^n-2}$ in A such that $a_1a_2...a_{2^n-2} \neq 0$. Take $X = A\widehat{\otimes}A$ and let $J : A \to X$ be an operator specified by

$$J(a) = a_1a_2...a_{2^n-2} \otimes a \qquad (a \in A)\cdot \tag{4.1}$$

The operator J is an element of $JMul_n(A,X)$ which is not belong to $Mul_n(A,X)$. Because,

$$J(a^n) = a_1a_2...a_{2^n-2} \otimes a^n = 0,$$
$$a.J(a^{n-1}) = a(a_1a_2...a_{2^n-2} \otimes a^{n-1}) = aa_1a_2...a_{2^n-2} \otimes a^{n-1} = 0,$$
$$J(a^{n-1}).a = (a_1a_2...a_{2^n-2} \otimes a^{n-1}).a = a_1a_2...a_{2^n-2} \otimes a^n = 0,$$

and $J(a_1a_2...a_n) = a_1a_2...a_{2^n-2} \otimes a_1a_2...a_n \neq 0 = a_1.J(a_2a_3...a_n)$.

For the second part of the theorem, take the Banach algebra A and X as above and let J be the operator defined by relation 4.1. It is clear that every $(n-1)$-Jordan multiplier is an n-Jordan multiplier. On the other hand, there exists an element $a_0 \in A$ such that $a_0^{n-1} \neq 0$. Hence, $J(a_0^{n-1}) = a_1a_2...a_{2^n-2} \otimes a_0^{n-1} \neq 0 = a_0.J(a_0^{n-2})$ and this show the strict inclusion. □

Similar to Theorem 2.6, we have the following theorem for *n-Jordan Multipliers*.

Theorem 4.3. *There exists a Banach algebra A and a Banach A-bimodule X such that A is not nil and $JMul_2(A,X) \subsetneq JMul_3(A,X)$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.6, with some modifications. Indeed replace a nil Banach algebra by a nilpotent Banach algebra. □

Remark 4.4. Similar arguments to those above show that there exists Banach algebras which are not nil and $JMul_{n-1}(A,X) \subsetneq JMul_n(A,X)$ for every positive integer $n > 3$.

Let $JMul_{n,l}(A,X)$ denote the space of all *left n-Jordan multipliers*, i.e., the operators J such that $J(a^n) = J(a^{n-1}).a$ for each $a \in A$. For this class of operator we can define the concept of approximately local left n-Jordan multipliers and then investigate when an approximately local left n-Jordan multiplier is a left n-Jordan multiplier.

Let $T : A \to X$ be a bounded operator such that X is a right Banach A-module. We say that the operator T is an approximately local left n-Jordan multipliers if, for each $a \in A$, there exist a sequence $\{J_{a,m}\}$ in $JMul_{n,l}(A,X)$ such that, $T(a) = \lim_m J_{a,m}(a)$.

We end this section by giving analogues of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 for the left n-Jordan multipliers as follows.

Theorem 4.5. *Let A be a Banach algebra and X be a right Banach A-module. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) *Every approximate local left n-Jordan multiplier from A into X is a left n-Jordan multiplier ($n \geq 3$).*
(2) *$JMul_{n,l}(A,X)$ is algebraically reflexive.*

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 3.2, therefore we omit it. □

Theorem 4.6. *Let A be a Banach algebra such that $JMul_{n,l}(A,A^*)$ is algebraically reflexive. Suppose that X is a right Banach A-module such that, $\{x \in X : x^\perp = A\} = 0$. Then every approximately local left n-Jordan multiplier from A into X is a left n-Jordan multiplier.*

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 3.3, therefore we omit it. □

4.1. **One question.** We end this work by a question which seems to be hard.

Let A and B be two Banach algebras. A linear map $N : A \to B$ is called an *n-Jordan homomorphism* if $N(a^n) = N(a)^n$ for all $a \in A$, [4]. Zelazko in [11], proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7. *Let A be a Banach algebra. Also let B be a semisimple commutative Banach algebra. Then each 2-Jordan homomorphism from A into B is a 2-homomorphism.*

Gordji in [4] generalized the above theorem for 3-Jordan homomorphism, i.e., he proved that each 3-Jordan homomorphism from a Banach algebra into a semisimple and commutative Banach algebra is a 3-homomorphism.

Now like the theory of n-Jordan homomorphism we raise the following question for n-multipliers.

Question 4.8. Let A be a Banach algebra and X be a Banach A-bimodule. Let T be an n-Jordan multiplier ($n \geq 3$) from A into X. When T is an n-multiplier? what condition(s) is (are) needed?

If A is essential, then by Theorem 2.4, T is a multiplier and in [10], Zalar solved this question for multipliers on A. We do not know what happens if A is not essential and $n \geq 3$.

References

[1] M. Adib, A. Riazi and J. Bračič, *Quasi-multipliers of the dual of a Banach algebra*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **5** (2011), no. 2, 6-14.

[2] J. B. Conway, *A course in operator theory*, Graduate studies in mathematics, Volume **21**, AMS. 1999.

[3] H. G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, Clarendon press, Oxford, 2000.

[4] M. E. Gordji, *N-Jordan homomorphism*, Bull. Aus. Math. Soc, **80**. 01 (2009), 159-164.

[5] Sh. Hejazian, M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, *N-homomorphisms*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **31** (2005), no. 1, 13-23, 88.

[6] R. Larsen, *An introduction to the theorey of multipliers*, Springer-Verlag New York Heidelberg Berlin 1971.

[7] W. Lee, *Nilpotency index of inl-algebra of nil-index 3*, J. Appl. Math. Computing Vol. **20** (2006), No. 1. 2, 569-573.

[8] M. McKennon, *Quasi-multipliers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **233** (1977), 105-123.

[9] E. Samei, *Approximately local derivations*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **71** (2005), 759-778.

[10] B. Zalar, *On centralizers of semiprime rings*. Comment. Math. Univ. Carol. **32** (1991), 609-614.

[11] W. Zelazko, *A characterization of multiplicative linear functionals in complex Banach algebras*. Studia. math, **30** (1968), 83-85.

[1,2] Department of Mathematics, Faculty of mathematics and computer sciences, Kharazmi university, 43 Mofateh Ave, Tehran, Iran.

E-mail address: [1]Laali@khu.ac.ir

E-mail address: [2]fozouni@khu.ac.ir